


CARROLL COUNTY MARYLAND
225 N. Center Street
Westminster, Maryland 21157-5194
410-857-2150 • 410-876-2085, Ext. 2150
FAX 410-840-8932
TT 410-848-3017



113586 AA Fil
OUZ
30
Office of
Environmental Services
James E. Slater, Jr., Administrator, AICP

June 5, 1996

Mr. Chris Corbett, Remedial Design Project Mgr.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
841 Chestnut Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107

Re: Keystone Landfill Task Force - Meeting Notice

Dear Ms. Santiago:

Attached is a copy of the draft minutes of the May 14, 1996, Keystone Landfill Task Force meeting. The next meeting will be held on Tuesday, June 11, 1996 at 7:00 p.m. at the Union Township Municipal Building (located at 255 Pine Grove Road in Hanover, Pennsylvania). The meeting is scheduled to last for 1½ hours.

We look forward to seeing you at the next Keystone Landfill Task Force Meeting.

Respectfully,



James E. Slater, Jr., AICP
Administrator

clm

C:\WP51\TEXT\KEYSTONE.TFMTG_NOT.ICE\MAY_MIN.96

Attachment (1) Minutes

c: The Honorable Representative Stephen R. Maitland, Commonwealth of PA, 146
Chambersburg St., Gettysburg, PA 17325
file

AR501726

MINUTES

Keystone Landfill Task Force Meeting
May 14, 1996
St. Mary's United Church of Christ
Silver Run, Maryland

The meeting was called to order at 7:05 p.m. by Mr. Joseph Minor, Union Township Supervisor. Mr. Minor then moved that the minutes from the last meeting be adopted. Ms. Hardinger seconded the motion. The minutes were adopted as written.

Mr. Minor expressed concern over potential groundwater impacts. Mr. Corbett indicated that the contingency plan will be part of the Health and Safety Plan that will be part of the 90 percent design. Mr. Corbett also indicated that the 95 percent design will be complete by June 17, 1996. This is a two week delay.

Mr. Pellessier of EA discussed the contents of the 90 percent design package. Included in the package will be 18 to 20 drawings, specifications, design analysis, the construction Quality Assurance Plan, the Health and Safety Plan including contingencies, the Operation and Maintenance Plan including the field sampling plan and the treatment plant operating procedures.

Mr. Minor reiterated concern and asked what happens if residential wells are affected by operations. Mr. Corbett further indicated that those and other contingencies will be addressed in the plan.

Mr. Corbett then stated that interested parties would have sixty (60) days to review the 90 percent design submittal. Comments will be due to EA by August.

Mr. Minor further expressed concern over potential impacts to residential wells. Mr. Pellissier responded by stating that a static water level will be taken before pumping begins. In addition, wells water levels will be monitored during operations. He further stated that the Contingency Plan will outline what is to be done if any wells are impacted. Actual impacts will

AR501727

not be known until the pumping begins.

Ms. Minor questioned whether the issue of the phosphorus had been addressed in the construction plan. Mr. Pellissier felt that it was and that the location of the material was outside of the construction area. Mr. Pellissier also indicated that he would speak to the Gettysburg Fire Department regarding a more specific location.

A meeting is to be scheduled at the mid point of the 90 percent design review at EA's offices.

A discussion ensued regarding the timing of the review and what is necessary such that PACE/CURE's consultant can be involved.

Ms. Hardinger brought up the question of whether to cap the landfill. She suggested that elimination of the cap and diversion of the resources into development of a community water supply would make more sense. Mr. Corbett indicated that any such decision would have to involve the PRPs.

Mr. Corbett then indicated that a meeting was scheduled between the State of PA. and the PRPs concerning the cap (i.e. a delayed cap alternative). Ms. Hardinger questioned who would be representing the community's interest at the meeting. Mr. Corbett requested that R. Morgan of PaDEP brief the Task Force on the outcome of the meeting.

Again, Ms. Minor questioned who will be representing the community. Mr. Morgan indicated that the meeting was set up by the attorneys and he does not know why the community was not included. He will investigate. Further discussion centered on the appropriateness of the meeting. Can PaDEP adequately represent the community's "common sense alternative" in meetings with the PRPs.

Further discussion regarding delaying the cap and possibly not capping while diverting financial resource into the development of a community water supply developed.

Mr. Minor questioned the status of the University of Maryland study. Mr. Corbett indicated that the study was ongoing and he had no update. He would, however, have more information at the next meeting.

Mr. Minor then questioned whether the State of Pennsylvania had taken steps to avoid another Keystone. There was no response.

Mr. Minor then expressed concern over the stormwater runoff from the site. He asked who is responsible for enforcing stormwater management. Ms. Brown remarked that off-site erosion is also a concern. Mr. Corbett will check into who will be responsible at the site during operation. Mr. Minor then expressed concern over vegetation obscuring some of the signs at the site. Mr. Corbett will, also check on that.

Mr. Wentworth of NUS indicated that well drilling had begun. He further stated that by 5/24 all of the new wells would be installed. Sampling would be forthcoming. Mr. Pellissier asked if the new wells could be incorporated into the monitoring system. Mr. Corbett responded that they would. He further indicated that the next iteration, including the surface water sampling and fish tissue analysis, would complete the field investigations. The pond and fish sampling will commence in early June.

NUS is preparing to prepare a RI on OU2.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:24 p.m.. The next meeting will be on June 11 at 7:00 p.m. at the Union Township Municipal Building.